



## EFL LISTENING: ANALYSES OF CONVERSATIONAL IMPLICATURE

Rakhmatova Mekhriniso Musinovna

*Ph.D., dotsent of*

*English Linguistic Department at BSU*

Azimjonova Elena Tulkunovna

*Master student at BSU*

*Bukhara city, Uzbekistan*

**Abstract:** *This article examines the influence of visual and audio content on conversational implicature in English listening. Through the analysis of videos, podcasts, and transcripts, the study aims to understand how different forms of media impact the interpretation of implied meanings in conversational language. The research will explore how visual cues, such as body language and facial expressions, and audio cues, such as tone of voice and intonation, contribute to the understanding of conversational implicature. By examining various forms of media, the study seeks to provide insights into how different modes of communication influence the interpretation of implied meanings in conversational English.*

**Key words:** *podcasting, conversational implicature, transcripts, videos, digitalization, listening.*

### INTRODUCTION

Skills development plays tremendous role in understanding level of mastery in learning foreign language. English listening comprehension plays a crucial role in language learning and communication, as it allows individuals to understand and interpret spoken language in real-life situations. However, listening to native speakers, especially in informal settings such as podcasts and videos, can pose challenges due to the presence of conversational implicatures. A conversational implicature refers to the implied meaning behind a speaker's words, which may differ from the literal or directly stated meaning [ 1 ]. This article aims to analyze the importance of understanding conversational implicatures in English listening comprehension based on podcasts, videos and transcripts.

Understanding conversational implicatures.

Conversational implicatures are a form of indirect communication, where speakers imply meaning rather than stating it explicitly. Grice's Cooperative Principle, a widely accepted framework in pragmatics, asserts that speakers and listeners often assume that conversation participants will cooperate and adhere to certain maxims, including the maxim of quantity, quality, relevance, and manner. Violations of these maxims can lead to implicatures [ 2 ] .

Implicatures can be classified into two categories: generalized and particularized. Generalized implicatures arise when a speaker follows the maxims, while particularized implicatures occur when a speaker violates the maxims. Understanding these implicatures is essential for effective communication, as they contribute to interpreting the intended meaning behind a speaker's words. Podcasts and videos as a source of conversational implicatures. Podcasts and videos are popular resources for English language



learners, as they expose them to authentic spoken language and provide insights into cultural contexts. However, these sources often contain instances of conversational implicatures since they reflect how people naturally speak and interact. In

podcasts, conversational implicatures can be observed in various aspects, such as sarcasm, irony, and implied intentions. For example, a podcast host may express a sarcastic comment towards a guest, implicating a different meaning than the literal words. Additionally, participants in conversations may imply their preferences or intentions through indirect statements, requiring listeners to infer the underlying message.

Similarly, videos present a rich context for conversational implicatures. Nonverbal cues, like facial expressions, gestures, and tone of voice, can influence the implied meaning of spoken words. For instance, a speaker's facial expression may indicate irony or sarcasm, prompting listeners to derive implicatures from both verbal and nonverbal cues. By incorporating visuals into the communication, videos offer additional clues that aid in understanding implicatures [ 6 ].

Strategies for analyzing conversational implicatures. While comprehending conversational implicatures can be challenging, there are strategies that English language learners can employ to enhance their listening comprehension: 1. Pay close attention to context: Understanding the context of the conversation can provide valuable clues for inferring implicatures. Consider the topic being discussed, the relationship between speakers, and any visual or situational cues that may contribute to the implied meaning. 2. Identify verbal and nonverbal cues: Observe the speaker's tone of voice, body language, facial expressions, and gestures, as these can provide insights into the intended meaning. Look for inconsistencies between the speaker's words and nonverbal cues, as these may indicate implicatures. 3. Utilize prior knowledge and experience: Draw on your background knowledge and personal experiences to make connections and better infer implicatures. Familiarity with cultural aspects and idiomatic expressions can be particularly helpful in deciphering implied meanings. 4. Practice active listening: Actively engage in the ongoing conversation by focusing on the speaker's words, tone, and context. Take note of any inconsistencies, ambiguities, or deviations from the expected meaning, as these are often indications of implicatures [ 5 ].

The role of transcripts in listening comprehension. Conversational implicature plays a crucial role in English listening comprehension. It refers to the implied meaning that is conveyed through conversation, rather than the literal meaning of the words spoken. Understanding conversational implicature is essential for effective communication and successful language comprehension. In order to analyze conversational implicature in English listening comprehension, it is important to examine transcripts of spoken conversations. These transcripts provide a valuable resource for studying how implicature is used in natural language interactions [ 3 ]. One example of conversational implicature can be found in the following exchange:

Speaker 1: "I'm feeling really tired today." Speaker 2: "There's some coffee in the kitchen." In this exchange, Speaker 2's response implies that Speaker 1 should go and get some coffee to help with their tiredness, even though they did not explicitly say so. This is an example of conversational implicature, where the meaning is inferred from the context



and the relationship between the speakers. Transcripts of spoken conversations can be analyzed to identify patterns of conversational implicature and how it contributes to overall comprehension. By examining the context, tone, and relationship between speakers, researchers can gain insights into how implicature is used in real-life communication. Furthermore, analyzing conversational implicature in English listening comprehension can also provide valuable insights for language learners. By understanding how implicature is used in natural conversations, learners can improve their ability to interpret implied meanings and understand the nuances of spoken language. In addition, studying conversational implicature can also be beneficial for language teachers. By incorporating examples of implicature into listening comprehension exercises, teachers can help students develop their ability to understand and interpret implied meanings in spoken English.

Overall, analyzing conversational implicature in English listening comprehension through transcripts provides valuable insights into how implied meanings are conveyed in natural language interactions. This research can benefit language learners, teachers, and researchers alike, by enhancing our understanding of how implicature contributes to effective communication and language comprehension [ 4 ].

#### CONCLUSION.

Analyzing conversational implicatures in English listening comprehension is vital for effective communication and language learning. As podcasts and videos provide real-life contexts and interactions, they offer excellent opportunities for learners to develop the skills necessary for understanding implied meanings. By employing strategies such as close attention to context, identifying verbal and nonverbal cues, utilizing prior knowledge, and practicing active listening, individuals can enhance their comprehension of conversational implicatures and become more proficient in interpreting the nuances of spoken English.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Abbott, B. (2000). Presuppositions as nonassertions. *Journal of pragmatics* 32: 1419–1437.
2. Anderson J R. 2009. *Cognitive psychology and its implications* ( 7th ed. ) [M] . New York: Worth Publisher.
3. Baldwin, D. A. (1993). Infants' ability to consult the speaker for clues to word reference. *Journal of child language* 20: 395–418.
4. Chierchia, G. (2006). Broaden your views: implicatures of domain widening and the “logicality” of language. *Linguistic inquiry* 37: 535–590.
5. Horn, L. R. (1984). Towards a new taxonomy for pragmatic inference: Q-based and R-based implicature. In D. Schiffrin (Ed.), *Meaning, form, and use in context: linguistic applications*, pp. 11–42. Washington: Georgetown University Press.
6. Рахматова, М. М. (2018). ВЪРАЖЕНИЕ КОНЦЕПТА " НЕКРАСИВОСТЬ (ХУНУКЛИК)" В ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННЫХ ТЕКСТАХ В УЗБЕКСКОЙ ЛИНГВОКУЛЬТУРЕ И ЕГО ЛЕКСИКО-СЕМАНТИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ. *Теория и*



практика современной науки, (3 (33)), 295-299.  
<https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/vyrazhenie-kontsepta-nekrasivost-hunuklik-v-hudozhestvennyh-tekstah-v-uzbekskoy-lingvokulture-i-ego-leksiko-semanticheskiy-analiz/viewer>

7. Muhsinovna, R. M., & Aminovich, U. A. (2022). THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CORPUS-BASED TECHNIQUES TO ANALYZE LITERARY WORKS. Open Access Repository, 8(04), 88-91. THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CORPUS-BASED TECHNIQUES TO ANALYZE LITERARY WORKS | Open Access Repository (oarepo.org)

8. Vandergrift L, Goh C. 2012. Teaching and learning second language listening: Metacognition in Action [M] .New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Grou